G.R.S. IX—5]

Previous references. 1922-3 **File No.** 285—22

451 - 16.8.18 (Madras.) **Serial Nos.** 1a 16 & 2

Later references.

155—27

May 2—29—25 & 28

2-30-76 & 77

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Three only

Part B for Jan 23

SUBJECT

Passing orders on the Petn of Bhagirathamma of Srirangapatam praying to enhance her allowce from Rs 10/ to Rs 12/ pm.

To the Mudrai Canvas
Coimbatore by Govt
2030-1 dy 11.1.23.
M.J. 285-22-2

Which sent as a special case the allowce given to Bhagirathammal be raised from Rs 10/ to Rs 12/. pm

2032

Printed on the Multigraph. 285-22-2

Recd: Copy to Mrs Bhagirathammal

Sd 23/1/23

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes. Mwrs 7 22

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D. 1240 of 22-23.

Petition of Rangaratheenam of Tenmaperi praying for her allowance of Rs 12 p.m. being enhanced to Rs 15 p.m.

Duplicate Sahebs orders

Please submit to the Hindu Member

for disposal of, papers may be put up

Sd A. R. D

1/12/22

In G.O. No 24467 / Mwr 451-14-7 dated the 12th April 1916, Bhagavathamma was ~~for~~ ordered to be given an allowance of Rs 5 p.m. from the funds of the Sri Sripada Krishna Ammanji Temple at Cherdagal, Tenmaperiam Taluk, in consideration of her pleasant legislature bringing the mismanagement of the temple to the notice of the Collector of Tanjore and of her unfortunate circumstances

which has resulted in the temple having come under the management of Govt. & a scale of expenditure fixed therein

NB —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Bhagvatkumar pleaded that this absence might be condoned to his credit and in the marginal entry endorsements, this prayer was refused.

No. 1032
mur 644-15-2
§ 28.10.49

1383
mur 644-15-2
§ 18-12-

The Dy Com. upon whom recommended the condonement of the absence to his credit was informed in this office letter no. 320/mur 637-18-2 § 26.7.49 that the recommendation cannot be sanctioned.

She again put in the same prayer in August 1949 when the Govt. sanctioned as a special case the condonement of the absence to his credit

in GOM 1068-49
Mur 162-49-2
§ 20.9.49.

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

The Dy Com. recommended in July 1949 that in the financial position of the temples in Jaithan and

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Mad 2[illegible]-21

improved Bhagwatheeswara might be given an increased allowance of Rs 10 p.m. from the temple funds and put in their order No. 908-09/mad 162-19-8 Dy 4.9.20 the decision of that Govt.

The Dy Commr enquired subsequently recommended in April 1921 that as the R.C. could not maintain himself within the 10 Rs allowance sanctioned, the allowance might be enhanced to Rs 15 p.m. Govt considered that Rs 12 was enough & sanctioned it in their order No 4138-40/mad 555-20-2 Dy 17.5.21 from I.S. 29 and stated that no further recommendations in the matter would be considered

Bhagiratheeswara requested for an enhancement of the allowance from Rs 12 to Rs 15 p.m was rejected

N B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G.R.S. III—8]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Sent for ~~& that effect~~
~~Sent to Mandate~~ No 1559
Mun 555-20-4
By 14.10.22 Sent Th
& that effect.

The Petitioner has now
Returned the Sworn Sabeb
that the order may be
Reconsidered, and the Recommendation Enhancing
the allowance to Rs 15/pm may
be sanctioned She further
says that she cannot Maintain
herself (Provisions, Housecent, Clothes,
Ceremonies & medical fees &c are included)
with the present allowance of
Rs 12/pm, and that if it is
raised to Rs 15/pm she will not
trouble the first for any more
increasions.

The Petitioner's allowance has since been raised from Rs. 10 to 12 per month during the last 6 years.

N B Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

The Petitioner Sabeb
has been pleased to
Order

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Mur 285-1922

Order the papers to the
Secretary.

BG
6/12/22

The reason for the
original grant of Rs 5- per
mensem & perhaps one of the
temple funds is Contained
in the H. V. Nanjundaiyya's
Memo dated 16-3-1916.[x]

The Question is
whether the allowance should
of Rs 5- which has been raised
from time to time and now
stands at Rs 12 should be
further enhanced to Rs 15- per
mensem. There is no legal
obligation on part of Govt
for any allowance
Govt Member

Renan 8.7.0

H! 7/12/22

[x] in Mur. file 451-14
(8? 1-8) Rs 8-9.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Rec'd DEC 7 1922

N B –Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

4739-231

8.12.22

Seen.

There is no
obligation for allowance
the allowance, as desired
by the Rev. Greg. This
is a very persistent petitioner
& I am afraid that it
will not be long before the
Consul again files a prayer
for further enhancement
if the present request is
not acceded. The office note
makes the case clear.

Recd
11.12.22

Dewan's minute

Ref
to the petr
Dewan

11/12/22

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Rcvd. on DEC 11 1922
Regd. No. 3456

I have no Objn. to
the petitioner's request
& that is the view of
the Finance Member

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

but as the amount (Rs 50)
is small & that may
be so & the case closed
once for all specially
as local govt
agree to it

13/12/22

G.R.S. III—3

Routine Notes

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

1240

Jan 28 '23

First Member 24/1/23

4997-489
16.12.22

I do not wish to advise any objection. The recommendation of the local officers may be accepted. Since the Secretary is inclined to take a favourable view of the case.

Rees
28.12.22

24/1/23

Dy. Secy. Finance
Mr. Arnold is a Deputy Secretary, R.T.

31/1/23

31/1/23

2. No 2030-1 Sl 11.1.23 to the Hon. Chairman of the Corporation

Sanctions the enhancement of allowance from Rs 12½ to Rs 15 as a very special case.

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

Rec'd on 24/1/1



To

T.E. Jeyaram Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government, Muzrai Department,

Bangalore.

Most respected Sir,

The humble petition of Bhagirathamma, daughter-inlaw of Sridharamurti, resident of Srirangapatam, Showeth.

That the temple of Sri Gopalakrishna and Anjaneya at Srirangapatam was built by her above named ancestors and landed property wprth Rs.20,000/- was left for the upkeep of the temple on condition of the Government taking over the management when the family became extinct.

That after the life of the above ancestor, the management rested with my brother-in-law who having misled the affairs of the temple, the Government took it over to its management as the warnings given to him in two successive years did not mend matters and the petitioner was advised when asked that if the management was left to her care, it would be not possible for her to conduct the affairs.

That in so doing the Government who entirely neglected the fact how the petitioner, a widow, should get on with her livelihood when all the land produce was to be the temple's property, was addressed by her and the Government were pleased to sanction a subsistance allowance of Rs.12/- though the Amildar and the Deputy Commissioner srongly recommended for Rs.15/- pointing out at the same time that there was enough savings in the temple funds for the purpose.

Now, the petitioner humbly begs that the allowance may be increased to Rs.15/- the grounds being as follow:-

1. that the Government took over the management when the petitioner could herself conduct it if opportunity had been given and by that means the property could have served her a double purpose i.e., livelihood and temple management.

2. that there is enough of funds in the name of the

the temple to grant the increased rate of allowance.

3. that cost of living having increased the allowance now being given cannot meet expenditure required for provision, clothing house rent, ceremonies of her husband and medical aid etc., and the petitioner belonging to a respectful family cannot throw on the mercy of others.

4. that the allowance is not claimed as hereditary but only will last till her life and so this expenditure is a temporary one.

5. that the petitioner will not trouble the Government for any more concession if Rs.15/- is sanctioned.

6. that she has incurred large debt owing to her inability to maintain herself within the 12/- rupees during her illness on several occasions.

The petitioner will feel the gratitude of the Government through all the rest of her life if her request is favourably considered and orders are passed by a look into the records and favour-able endorsement granted to her early.

For this act of kindness the petitioner prays for your long life and prosperity.

The humble petitioner begs to remain,

Most respected sir,

Your most obedient and humble servant.

Dated, Bangalore
24th. November 1922.

ಭಾಗೀರಥಿಅಮ್ಮನವರು

Pcln. no 449
1.12.22

To

A.R.Banerji, Esq., M.A., C.S.I., C.I.E., I.C.S.

Dewan of Mysore, Bangalore.

REV.SEC.
1240
22-23

Most respected Sir,

The humble petition of Bhagirathamma daughter-in-law of Sridharamurti, resident of Srirangapatam, showeth,

That the temple of Sri Gopalakrisna and Anjaneya at Sriranga -patam was built by her above named ancestor and landed property worth Rs.20,000/- was left for the upkeep of the temple on condition of the Government taking over management when the family became extinct.

that after the life of the above ancestor, the management rested with my brother-in-law who having misled the affairs, the Government took over the temple to their administration as the warnings given to him in successive years did not mend matters and and the petitioner was advised when asked that if the management was left to her care, it would not be possible for her to conduct the affairs.

That in so doing the Government who entirely neglected the fact how the petitioner, a widow, should get on with her livelihood when all the land produce was to be the temple's property, was addressed by her and the Government were pleased to sanction a sub-sistance allowance of Rs.12/- though the Amildar and the Deputy Commissioner strongly recommended for Rs.15/- pointing out at the same time that there was enough savings in the temple funds for the purpose.

Now, the petitioner humbly begs that the allowance may be increased to Rs.15/- the grounds being as follow;-

1. that the Government took over the management when the petitioner could herselhf ~~could~~ conduct it if opportunity had been given and by that means the property could have served her the double purpose i.e.,livelihood and temple management.

2. that there is enough of funds in the name of the temple to grant the increase rate of allowance.

3. that cost of living having increased the allowance not

now being given cannot meet expenditure required for provisions clothing, house rent, ceremonies of her husband and medical aid etc., and the petitioner belonging to a respectable family cannot through on the mercy of others.

4. that the the allowance is not claimed hereditary but only will lasttill her life and so this expenditure is a temporary one.

5. that the petitioner will not trouble the Government for any more concession if Rs. 15/- is sanctioned.

6. that she has incurred large debt owing to her inability to maintain herself within the 12 rupees during her illness on several occasions.

The petitioner will feel the gratitude of the Government through all the rest of her life if her request is favourably considered and orders are passed by a look into the previous records and favourable endorsement granted to her early.

For this act of kindness the petitioner prays for your long life and prosperity.

The humble petitioner begs to remain,
most respected sir,
Your most obeaient and humble servant.

Dated, Bangalore
24. November 1959

ಭಾಗೀರಥಮ್ಮನವರು

G.R..S VI G

SUBJECT..... ....

....

....

Diary No. of letter replied to... .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *192*

Date of issue.....

Signed by..... ....

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette.
English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette,
Kannada Part ?

Section Mun.

File No. 23 - M

Serial No. 2

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

Govt Pro. No 4138-40/ Muz. 555-20-2 Dt 17-5-21 sanctioning an enhanced monthly allowance Rs 12/- to one Bhagirthamma of Seringapatam from the fund of the late Gopalakrishna Devaru

Order Thereon No. and Sri Anjaneya Devaru Devasthana Committee Kanda Pai in the Seringapatam taluk

dated 24th June 1922
from Bhagiethamma
representing that the allowance
now sanctioned is hardly
sufficient for her maintenance,
and praying that ~~the allowance~~ the
~~monthly~~ present allowance of Rs 12 p.m. may be
~~Rs 15/ may be granted to her,~~ enhanced to Rs 15 p.m.
and stating that if her
prayer is granted, she
will not ask for further
concession.

Union Memo. No 2030-1
Mus 285-22-2
dt 11.1.23

under the circumstances
represented, Govt are
pleased to direct ~~that~~ that
as a very special case,
~~the~~ the allowance
payable to Bhagiethamma
be raised from ~~Rs~~
12/- to ~~Rs~~ 15/- per month

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563– GPB—2,500—27-7-22

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Continuation sheet draft form.)

The charge being debited to the funds of Sri Ujobala Krishna Devaru and Sri Anjaneya Swami temples in question. This order will have effect from 1.12.22.

Secretary
Rev Dept

Dy
The Insp. Comm.
Comm

2032 dt 1.12.22

1922

3/1/23

WL 2250—GPB—1 00 000—23 7 20

3/1/23